

1 Shakeer Rahman (SBN 332888)
Law Office of Shakeer Rahman
838 East 6th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90021
2 323-546-9236, shakeer@loosr.net

3 Fahim Rahman (SBN 336963)
Law Office of Fahim Rahman
4 21255 Burbank Blvd, Suite 120, Woodland Hills, CA 91367
5 323-305-9323, fahim@frahmanlaw.com

6 Attorneys for Petitioner

Electronically FILED by
Superior Court of California,
County of Los Angeles
11/07/2023 9:32 AM
David W. Slayton,
Executive Officer/Clerk of Court,
By R. Perez, Deputy Clerk

7 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
8 **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

9 STOP LAPD SPYING COALITION
10 Petitioner

11 v.

12 THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES
13 Respondent

Case No. 23STCP04101

**VERIFIED PETITION FOR
WRIT OF MANDATE;
EXHIBIT A.**

14 **INTRODUCTION**

15
16 1. The Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) appears to be generating intelligence files about
17 activist groups at such a scale that it cannot even process these records for the purpose of complying
18 with public records laws or, even if it can comply, only at a glacial pace that hinders political scrutiny of
19 this surveillance.

20 2. LAPD is asserting that it will take several years to comply with a request filed by Petitioner Stop
21 LAPD Spying Coalition in August 2022 seeking “all LAPD emails from January 2020 to the date of
22 production that contain the terms ‘Stop LAPD Spying’ or stoplapdspying.” LAPD initially denied
23 Petitioner’s request with the claim that its file of emails about Petitioner was too voluminous for its
24 computer systems to process. After Petitioner challenged that claim, LAPD promised to produce the
25 records by January 2023, then April, then July, and then September. Not only was each of those
26 promises false, LAPD has repeatedly rejected Petitioner’s requests for an estimate for when it will
27
28

1 produce all the requested records. This violates the California Public Records Act, which requires that a
2 public agency must not only make its records available to the public but “the agency shall also state the
3 estimated date and time when the records will be made available.” (Gov. Code § 7922.535, subd. (b).)

4 3. LAPD records from a separate records request not filed by Petitioner confirm that LAPD is
5 monitoring activist gatherings while also denying that this surveillance exists. For example, LAPD
6 alerted officers about a town hall that Petitioner organized to discuss a recent public records lawsuit. The
7 town hall consisted of little more than a panel discussion with a journalist, lawyer, and community
8 organizer. LAPD instructed officers to treat this town hall as “demonstration to protest alleged LAPD
9 social media surveillance” and warned that “disruptions may occur in the area.” Despite emphasizing
10 “alleged” before “LAPD social media surveillance,” the alert also stated: “Source: Social Media.”¹

12 4. LAPD documents separate from this request have confirmed increased police surveillance of
13 political expression online. In 2021, a report commissioned by the Los Angeles City Council called for
14 the creation of a new LAPD bureau permanently devoted to “Public Order Policing,” equipped with
15 technology “that would assist the Department in gathering open-source information on the internet.”²
16 The next month, LAPD released a budget for this proposal that called for \$2.4 million to hire officers
17 who will engage those “likely to organize and participate in First Amendment assemblies,” \$800,000 for
18 surveillance technology “to analyze open-source internet and social media content,” and \$125,000 to
19 “study potential new technology for use in public order policing.”³ LAPD’s next annual budget request
20 to City Council earmarked \$4.1 million for monitoring threats to “public order” including with
21

23 ¹ (Rachel Levinson-Waldman, “Documents Show LAPD Monitoring of Community Meeting on . . .
24 . LAPD Social Media Monitoring,” Brennan Center for Justice (Sept. 9, 2022),
25 <https://brennancenter.org/our-work/analysis-opinion/documents-show-lapd-monitoring-community-meeting-lapd-social-media>.)

26 ² (Gerald Chaleff, An Independent Examination of the Los Angeles Police Department 2020
27 Protest Response (March 10, 2021), available at https://clkrep.lacity.org/onlinedocs/2020/20-0729_rpt_CLA_03-11-21.pdf.)

28 ³ (Chief of Police, After-Action Report Implementation Plan, LAPD (Apr. 21, 2023), available at
http://www.lapdpolicecom.lacity.org/042721/BPC_21-078x.pdf.)

1 “technology to help the Department track and utilize their resources and analyze open source data.”⁴

2 5. LAPD’s use of digital surveillance technologies to generate secret files on Petitioner and other
3 activist groups appears to revive the functions of the Public Disorder Intelligence Division (PDID), an
4 LAPD espionage unit notorious for surveilling political groups and generating secret dossiers on
5 thousands of activists, organizers, politicians, and judges. PDID was dismantled in 1983. That same
6 year, the City of Los Angeles enacted a law providing that

7 records of intelligence information compiled, collected, maintained or used by the Public
8 Disorder Intelligence Division of the Police Department, and records of intelligence
9 information compiled, collected, maintained or used by any other division, office,
10 section, or any other such unit of the Police Department which pertain to any of the
11 functions of the Public Disorder Intelligence Division as comprised on January 1,1983,
and subsequently transferred to such division, office, section, or other such unit . . . shall
be subject to disclosure.

12 (L.A. Admin. Code § 12.21(f)(1).) The purpose of this law was to ensure that no future LAPD unit could
13 revive the PDID’s functions of generating secret files about its critics.

14 6. LAPD’s responses to Petitioner’s records request violate L.A. Administrative Code section 12.21
15 along with the California Public Records Act and the California Constitution. Petitioner seeks a writ of
16 mandate to require LAPD’s compliance with these legal requirements.

17
18 **PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE**

19 7. Petitioner is a nonprofit community group that files records requests on behalf of its membership
20 base and widely shares its research from public records.

21 8. Respondent is a local agency within the scope of Government Code section 7920.510.

22 9. This court has jurisdiction under Government Code sections 7923.000 through 7923.005 and
23 7923.100 through 7923.115 and Code of Civil Procedure section 1085.
24
25
26

27 ⁴ (Chief of Police, Fiscal Year 2022-23 Proposed Budget, LAPD (Nov. 23, 2021), available at
28 <https://lapdonlinestrgeacc.blob.core.usgovcloudapi.net/lapdonlinemedia/2021/11/112321.pdf>.)

1 10. This court is a proper venue for this action under Government Code section 7923.100 because
2 the records in question are situated in Los Angeles County.

3 **FACTS**

4 11. On August 30, 2022, Petitioner Stop LAPD Spying Coalition filed a public records request for
5 “all LAPD emails from January 2020 to the date of production that contain the terms ‘Stop LAPD
6 Spying’ or stoplapdspying.” (Exh. A at 1.)

7 12. On September 22, 2022, LAPD informed Petitioner that its emails mentioning Stop LAPD
8 Spying comprised “a file(s) that exceeds the maximum gigabyte that our system would allow to export;
9 therefore, we are unable to search for and identify emails responsive to your request.” (Exh. A at 18.)

10 13. On November 17, 2022, LAPD informed Petitioner that “the Department received six requests
11 identical to your request except each was broken down into a smaller date range within the date range
12 you provided.” On that basis, LAPD proposed “production of responsive records on a rolling basis” and
13 Petitioner agreed. (Exh. A at 11.) Petitioner did not file the six requests LAPD referenced in its
14 November 17 communication and is unaware of who did.
15

16 14. On December 21, 2022, LAPD informed Petitioner that it would “be able to begin providing you
17 with copies of responsive, non-exempt records by January 30, 2023.” (Exh. A at 10.) That date passed
18 without any records or further communication from LAPD.
19

20 15. On March 7, 2023, LAPD informed Petitioner that it would begin producing responsive records
21 by April 10, 2023. (Exh. A at 9.)
22

23 16. On April 10, 2023, LAPD informed Petitioner that it was “still in the process of reviewing the
24 emails collected” and “anticipate providing you with the responsive records, upon completion of the
25 review, by July 10, 2023.” (Exh. A at 8.)

26 17. On July 7, 2023, LAPD informed Petitioner that it “require[d] additional time for supervisor
27 review” and “estimates that it will be able to begin providing you with copies of responsive, non-exempt
28

1 records by September 6, 2023 and on a rolling basis thereafter.” (Exh. A at 7.)

2 18. On July 18, 2023, Petitioner sent the following communication to LAPD: “We understand that
3 you estimate beginning to provide responsive records in September, but Government Code § 7922.535
4 requires you to provide an estimate of when all the requested will be produced. Please provide an
5 estimate for the final date by which records for ALL records across the full time range will be
6 completed.” (Exh. A at 6.)

7 19. On July 21, 2023, LAPD responded: “At this time, the Department is unable to provide you with
8 a date for ALL records to be completed. However, we estimate that the Department will begin rolling
9 production of e-mail communications responsive to your request on September 6, 2023.” (Exh. A at 6.)

10 20. On September 6, 2023, LAPD informed Petitioner that the “[r]equested records are currently
11 under further review” and “records responsive to your request will become available October 6, 2023.”
12 (Exh. A at 6.)

13 21. On October 5, 2023, over 13 months after Petitioner first filed this request, LAPD finally
14 produced a set of responsive records. The records covered an approximately four-month period from
15 between June 20, 2022 and October 22, 2022.

16 22. On October 9, 2023, Petitioner asked LAPD: “Could you provide an estimate for when all
17 records responsive to our request will be produced, not just the next batch?” (Exh. A at 2.)

18 23. On October 20, 2023, LAPD sent the following response to Petitioner, not answering Petitioner’s
19 question: “The Department continues to make every effort to produce responsive records on a rolling
20 basis and estimates the next release of records or an update on December 1, 2023.” (Exh. A at 2.)

21 24. At the pace LAPD has produced records so far – over 13 months to produce four months of
22 emails – it would take approximately nine years for LAPD to produce emails covering the time between
23 January 2020 and August 2022, the month Petitioner filed the request. Petitioner’s request covers a
24 longer time span than that, seeking “all LAPD emails from January 2020 to the date of production.”
25
26
27
28

CAUSE OF ACTION

25. Petitioners incorporate by reference all paragraphs above, as though set forth in full here.

26. Respondent’s failure to produce all records responsive Petitioner’s request violates the California Public Records Act along with the L.A. Administrative Code and the California Constitution, which provides that the “people have the right of access to information concerning the conduct of the people’s business, and therefore, the . . . writings of public officials and agencies shall be open to public scrutiny.” Const., Art. I § 3(b)(1).

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Petitioner respectfully requests that:

1. This Court issue a writ of mandate directing the City of Los Angeles to promptly make all responsive records available to the public.
2. This Court issue a writ of mandate directing the City of Los Angeles to state the estimated date and time when the responsive records will be made available to the public.
3. This Court issue a writ of mandate directing the City of Los Angeles to state its basis for refusing to produce any responsive records;
4. The Court enter an order declaring that the City of Los Angeles failed to adequately comply with Petitioner’s public records request;
5. The Court enter an order awarding Petitioner its attorneys’ fees and costs; and,
6. The Court award any further relief as is just and proper.

DATED: November 6, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICE OF SHAKEER RAHMAN
LAW OFFICE OF FAHIM RAHMAN

By: /s/ Shakeer Rahman

Shakeer Rahman
Attorney for Petitioners

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

VERIFICATION

I, Hamid Khan, declare:

1. I am the lead coordinator with the Stop LAPD Spying Coalition and I am authorized to make this verification on Petitioner's behalf.
2. I have read the VERIFIED PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE. The facts stated in the Petition are either true and correct of my own personal knowledge or I am informed and believe that the facts are true and correct, and on that basis I allege them to be true and correct.

This verification was executed on November 6, 2023, in Los Angeles, California. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.



HAMID KHAN

EXHIBIT A

Request 22-8912 Open




Dates

Received

August 30, 2022 via web

Requester

 Hamid Khan

 stoplapdspying@gmail.com

 838 E. 6th St., Los Angeles, CA, 90802

 562 230 4578

Staff Assigned

Departments

Police Department (LAPD)

Point of contact

LAPD Analyst N1789

Request

Please provide all LAPD emails from January 2020 to the date of production that contain the terms "Stop LAPD Spying" or "stoplapdspying" (which should include any URL, email address, or account handle containing the term "stoplapdspying"). You can exempt all public comment emails with policecommission@lapd.online in the to: field.

Timeline

Documents

 **External Message** 

Requester + Staff

Dear Requester,

Thank you for your message dated October 9, 2023. The Department continues to review responsive records, please be advised that the Department will provide you with copies of non-exempt records responsive to your request pursuant to the California Government Code Sections that fall under the California Public Records Act. The Department continues to make every effort to produce responsive records on a rolling basis and estimates the next release of records or an update on December 1, 2023. Thank you again for your continued patience and understanding.

Respectfully,

LAPD Public Records & Subpoena
Response Section, CPRA Unit

October 20, 2023, 10:49am by LAPD Analyst N1789 (Staff)

 **External Message** 

Requester + Staff

We received the records you published October 5. They cover only the four month period of June 20, 2022, to October 22, 2022. Our request sought all records mentioning our group from June 2020 to the present.

Could you provide an estimate for when all records responsive to our request will be produced, not just the next batch?
Thank you.

October 9, 2023, 10:32am by the requester

 **External Message** 

Requester + Staff

Dear Requester,

We received your request:

"Please provide all LAPD emails from January 2020 to the date of production that contain the terms "Stop LAPD Spying" or "stoplapdspying" (which should include any URL, email address, or account handle containing the term "stoplapdspying"). You can exempt all public comment emails with policecommission@lapd.online in the to: field."

Your request was made under the California Public Records Act (the Act). The Department is cognizant of its responsibilities under the Act. It recognizes the statutory scheme was enacted to maximize citizen access to the workings of government. The Act does not mandate disclosure of all documents within the government's possession. Rather, by specific exemption and reference to other statutes, the Act recognizes that there are boundaries where the public's right to access must be balanced against such weighty considerations as the right of privacy, a right of constitutional dimension under California Constitution, Article 1, Section 1. The law also exempts from disclosure records that are privileged or confidential or otherwise exempt under either express provisions of the Act or pursuant to applicable federal or state law, per California Government Code Sections 7927.200; 7927.700; 7923.600-7923.625; 7927.705; and 7922.000.

Please refer to NR22-10039 for the first batch of responsive documents. A link has been provided for your convenience. The Department estimates the next release will be provided on December 1,

2023.

[Request 22-10039 - NextRequest - Modern FOIA & Public Records Request Software](#)

If you have any questions, please respond to this email.

Respectfully,

LAPD Public Records & Subpoena
Response Section, CPRA Unit

October 5, 2023, 5:24pm by LAPD Analyst N1789 (Staff)



External Message



Requester + Staff

Dear Requester,

Requested records are currently under further review. All records are reviewed by supervisor (s) prior to their release, as part of the Department's standard multi-layered review process. We estimate that additional non-exempt records responsive to your request will become available October 6, 2023, and on a rolling basis thereafter.

If you have any questions, please respond to this email.

Respectfully,

LAPD Public Records & Subpoena
Response Section, CPRA Unit

September 6, 2023, 4:50pm by LAPD Analyst N1789 (Staff)

 **External Message** 

Requester + Staff

Dear Requester,

We estimate that the Department will begin to produce responsive records on September 6, 2023, and on a rolling basis thereafter. Again, thank you for your continued patience and understanding.

Respectfully,

LAPD Public Records and Subpoena
Response Section, CPRA Unit

July 28, 2023, 12:45pm by Detective III (Staff)

 **External Message** 

Requester + Staff

It's now been almost one year since we filed this request. All we are asking for is emails mentioning our group. Are we understanding your position correctly that you cannot tell us when the records we requested will all be produced?

July 24, 2023, 10:34am by the requester

 **External Message** 

Requester + Staff

Dear Requester,

We received your message. At this time, the Department is unable to provide you with a date for ALL records to be completed. However, we estimate that the Department will begin rolling production of e-mail communications responsive to your request on September 6, 2023. We will notify you should anything change. Thank you for your continued patience and understanding.

Respectfully,

LAPD Public Records & Subpoena
Response Section, CPRA Unit

July 21, 2023, 6:33pm by LAPD Analyst N1789 (Staff)

 **External Message** 

Requester + Staff

We filed this request almost a month ago on August 30, 2022, and you have produced zero records in response so far. We understand that you estimate beginning to provide responsive records in September, but Government Code § 7922.535 requires you to provide an estimate of when all the requested will be produced. Please provide an estimate for the final date by which records for ALL records across the full time range will be completed.

July 18, 2023, 3:01pm by the requester



External Message



Requester + Staff

Dear Requester,

The Department requires additional time for supervisor review. Please note that all records are reviewed by supervisor(s) prior to their release, as part of the Department's standard multi-layered review process. The Department estimates that it will be able to begin providing you with copies of responsive, non-exempt records by September 6, 2023 and on a rolling basis thereafter. We will notify you should anything change.

Thank you for your continued patience and understanding.

Respectfully,

LAPD Public Records & Subpoena
Response Section, CPRA Unit

July 7, 2023, 5:58pm by LAPD Analyst N1789 (Staff)

Dear Requester,

Thank you for your continued patience. Please consider this an update to our message on March 7, 2023. We are still in the process of reviewing the emails collected between the dates 6/1/2022 to 10/24/2022 for one of the six requests identical to this request. We anticipate providing you with the responsive records, upon completion of the review, by July 10, 2023.

Records are being reviewed for confidential portions that are exempt from disclosure under either express provisions of the California Public Records Act or pursuant to applicable federal or state law, per California Government Code Sections 7927.500, 7927.700 and 7923.600-7923.625. Should any additional redactions be applied, we will notify you in our closing response along with the provided documents. Again, thank you for your patience and understanding in the meantime.

Respectfully,

LAPD Public Records & Subpoena
Response Section, CPRA Unit

April 10, 2023, 4:55pm by LAPD Analyst N1789 (Staff)



External Message



Requester + Staff

Dear Requester,

Thank you for your patience. Please consider this an update to our message on December 21, 2022. We are in the process of reviewing the emails collected between the dates 6/1/2022 to 10/24/2022 for one of the six requests identical to this request. We anticipate providing you with the responsive records, upon completion of the review, by April 10, 2023.

Records are being reviewed for confidential portions that are exempt from disclosure under either express provisions of the California Public Records Act or pursuant to applicable federal or state law, per California Government Code Sections 7927.500, 7927.700 and 7923.600-7923.625. Should any additional redactions be applied, we will notify you in our closing response along with the provided documents. Again, thank you for your patience and understanding in the meantime.

Respectfully,

LAPD Public Records & Subpoena
Response Section, CPRA Unit

March 7, 2023, 4:10pm by LAPD Analyst N1789 (Staff)



External Message



Requester + Staff

Dear Requester,

The Department continues to search for, identify, and review responsive records. Records are being reviewed for confidential portions that are exempt from disclosure under either express provisions of the California Public Records Act or pursuant to applicable federal or state law, per California Government Code Sections 6254(a), 6254(c) and 6254(f). Should any additional redactions be applied, we will notify you in our closing response along with the provided documents.

The Department estimates that it will be able to begin providing you with copies of responsive, non-exempt records by January 30, 2023, and on a rolling basis thereafter. Thank you for your patience and understanding in the meantime.

Respectfully,

LAPD Public Records & Subpoena
Response Section, CPRA Unit

December 21, 2022, 11:57am by LAPD Analyst N1789 (Staff)

 **External Message** 

Requester + Staff

Dear Requester,

This is a follow-up to our message on October 24, 2022.

This is to inform you that the Department received six requests identical to your request except each was broken down into a smaller date range within the date range you provided. As a result, the Department's Information Technology Bureau was able to upload the records on its first attempt. We are currently in the process of sorting and identifying responsive records for the first of the six requests. Please let us know if you agree to production of responsive records on a rolling basis so that we may refer you to the other requests as they are completed. Thank you for your continued patience.

If you have any questions regarding this correspondence, simply respond to this email.

Respectfully,

LAPD Public Records & Subpoena
Response Section, CPRA Unit

November 17, 2022, 6:08pm by LAPD Analyst N1789 (Staff)

 **External Message** 

Requester + Staff

Dear Requester,

Thank you for your continued patience. In regard to the additional information needed to narrow down the search for responsive emails to your request:

**The Department's Response to Item
No. 1:**

The Department conducted a search for "yearly breakdowns of how many of these emails were sent in 2020, 2021, and 2022". Please note that "items" refers to emails, not number of pages:

2020:

There were 8,103 items found for "Stop LAPD Spying". This includes "duplicates" where the same email was sent to several email addresses.

There were 2,043 items found for "stoplapdspying". This includes "duplicates" where the same email was sent to several email addresses.

2021:

There were 4,482 items found for "Stop LAPD Spying". This includes "duplicates" where the same email was sent to several email addresses.

There were 3,257 items found for "stopspyinglapd". This includes "duplicates" where the same email was sent to several email addresses.

2022:

There were 4,797 items found for "Stop LAPD Spying". This includes "duplicates" where the same email was sent to several email addresses.

There were 1,438 items found for "stopspyinglapd". This includes "duplicates" where the same email was sent to several email addresses.

The Department's response to Item No. 2

The Department does not have the capability to query outside email domains at this time.

The Department's response to Item No. 3

The Department conducted a search for the top 10 **recipients** of email with the search words "Stop LAPD Spying" and "stoplapdspying" for the years 2020, 2021 and 2022.

2020:

N6220@lapd.online

N6154@lapd.online

N3025@lapd.online

N3853@lapd.online

N5449@lapd.online

V8751@lapd.online

23506@lapd.online

32466@lapd.online

N6480@lapd.online

2021:

N3853@lapd.online

N3145@lapd.online

N3025@lapd.online

32466@lapd.online

34964@lapd.online

N5890@lapd.online

N6220@lapd.online

N4677@lapd.online

34895@lapd.online

2022:

36601@lapd.online

39350@lapd.online

N5890@lapd.online

34895@lapd.online

N6480@lapd.online

N3025@lapd.online

N6613@lapd.online

34598@lapd.online

N5483@lapd.online

N6816@lapd.online

**The Department's response to Item
No. 4**

The Department conducted a query to determine "whether any of the emails are repetitive, for example because you are counting a single email sent to 1,000 different LAPD addresses as 1,000 different emails":

2020:

The total before deduplication is 8,374 items.

The total after deduplication is 4,244 items.

2021:

The total before deduplication is 6,878 items.

The total after deduplication is 1,833 items.

2022:

The total before deduplication is 5,897 items.

The total after deduplication is 816 items.

If you have any questions regarding this correspondence, simply respond to this email.

Respectfully,

LAPD Public Records & Subpoena
Response Section, CPRA Unit

October 24, 2022, 4:25pm by LAPD Analyst N1789 (Staff)

 **External Message** 

Requester + Staff

Dear Requester,

We received your message and understand your concern.

The Department will contact our Information Technology Bureau and forward your questions to them. We will respond back as soon as we get an answer. Thank you for your continued patience.

If you have any questions regarding this message, simply respond to this email.

Respectfully,

LAPD Public Records & Subpoena
Response Section, CPRA Unit

September 23, 2022, 5:10pm by LAPD Analyst N1789 (Staff)



External Message

Requester + Staff

Thank you for this message. Just so we understand, are you saying LAPD possesses over a gigabyte of internal emails that contain the terms "Stop LAPD Spying" or "stoplapdspying" since 2020, so much so that you are technically unable to even look at whether you comply with California public records laws for these records? If that's true, we believe that establishes potential statutory and constitutional problems with your recordkeeping systems.

In order to avoid litigation for the time being and to determine a basis for working with you to narrow the scope, we request the following information about the records at issue: (1) yearly breakdowns of how many of these emails were sent in 2020, 2021, and 2022, (2) the top 10 senders of the emails, (3) the top 10 recipients of the emails, and (4) whether any of the emails are repetitive, for example because you are counting a single email sent to 1,000 different LAPD addresses as 1,000 different emails.

Thank you.

September 23, 2022, 11:49am by the requester

Dear Requester,

The Los Angeles Police Department's Information Technology Bureau conducted an email query for your request. The query resulted in a file(s) that exceeds the maximum gigabyte that our system would allow to export; therefore, we are unable to search for and identify emails responsive to your request. If you wish to proceed with your request, we ask that you narrow the scope of your request by revising the date range, keywords, and/or the number of individuals requested.

We appreciate your response by September 29, 2022.

Respectfully,

LAPD Public Records & Subpoena
Response Section, CPRA Unit

September 22, 2022, 5:38pm by LAPD Analyst N1789 (Staff)

Dear Requester:

We have reviewed your California Public Records Act request.

Please be advised that, pursuant to California Government Code Section 6253(c), we have found that “unusual circumstances” exist with respect to the request due to the need to search for, collect, and review the requested records from other Department entities which are separate from the office processing the request. Therefore, our staff will require the statutory fourteen days extension of time in which to respond. A determination concerning your request will be made as soon as possible.

If you have any questions regarding this correspondence, simply respond to this email.

Respectfully,

LAPD Public Records & Subpoena
Response Section, CPRA Unit

September 9, 2022, 3:58pm by LAPD Analyst N1789 (Staff)

 **External Message** 

Requester + Staff

Dear Requester,

Your California Public Records Act (CPRA) request was received and will be assigned to a CPRA Analyst.

If you have any further questions, please respond to this email

Respectfully,

LAPD Public Records & Subpoena
Response Section, CPRA Unit

August 30, 2022, 5:16pm by LAPD Michelle N6335, Senior Administrative Clerk (Staff)

 **Department Assignment**

Public

Police Department (LAPD)

 **Request Opened**

Public

Request received via web

August 30, 2022, 3:50pm by the requester